

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,477	07/11/2003	Steven Roy Lipscomb	320400-00004	3454	
50.0.	7590 01/30/200 IULLIN, RICHTER &		EXAM	IINER	
333 SOUTH HO	•	THINK TON BEI	COLLINS, DOLORES R		
48TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-1448 ART UNIT PAPE				PAPER NUMBER	
2000222	,		3711		
			<u></u>		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MOI	NTHS	01/30/2007	PAI	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
*	10/617,477	LIPSCOMB ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	۱۰.			
•	Dolores R. Collins	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Se	eptember 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	·	secution as to the m	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,9,10,22 and 31-40</u> is/are pending in	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	• •					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7, 22 & 31-40</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority arraor 55 5.5.5.3 1.15(a)	(4) 5. (1).	•			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •		age			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		_			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/617,477

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The advisory action of 10/16/06 has been withdrawn. Please excuse the delay in prosecution.

Examiner acknowledges response by applicant's representative received 9/21/06. Examiner further acknowledges the further cancellation of claims 3, 5, 11-13 & 23-30.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - Claims 7, 22 & 31-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flannery in view of Shaw (122).

Flannery teaches a table, an opaque tabletop, a dealer, a plurality of player positions, a translucent planar window with a light source (see figure 1 and [0023]. Flannery, fails to teach that his light window extends around the game table. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the light source anywhere desired or expedient on the table. Such would be considered a design issue and would present little or no difficulty to one of ordinary skill.

Regarding claims 35-36

Examiner takes official notice that windows made of glass; Plexiglas and various types of reflective material(s) are known in the art. The use of a milk-colored Plexiglas would be a matter of design choice and would present little or no difficulty to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claim 40

Neither Flannery nor Shaw teach an oval shaped playing surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the table whatever shape desired or expedient. Such would be a design issue. Mere change in the shape would present little or no difficulty to one skilled in the art.

Art Unit: 3711

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-10 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Patentability has been found because the prior art fails to suggest or show the combination as set forth in the independent claim 9 including a light window being coplanar with the plane of the surface coupled with a trough that is separate and attached to the underside of the same playing surface.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered.

Arguments with respect to claims 7, 22 & 31-40 are moot in view of the aforementioned rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and are cited to show the state of art with respect to features of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Dolores R. Collins* whose telephone number is *(571)* **272-4421**. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00 A.M. - 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Eugene Kim* can be reached on *(571) 272-4463*. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is *571-273-8300*.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YD/ 11/21/06

EUGENE KIM ENT PATENT EXAMINER

SUPERVISORY